

The Senkaku paradox

Type de contenu : Texte

Type de médiation : sans médiation

Type de support : Volume

Titre(s) : The Senkaku paradox : risking great power war over small stakes / Michael E. O'Hanlon

Auteur(s) : O'Hanlon, Michael E.

Publication : Washington : Brookings Institution press

Date de copyright : C 2019

Description matérielle : 1 vol. (X-258 p.) : graph., cartes ; 23 cm

ISBN : 978-0-8157-3689-9
0-8157-3689-4

EAN : 9780815736899 br.

Classification décimale Dewey : 327.116

Note sur les bibliographies et les index : Notes bibliogr. Index

Note sur le contenu : Introduction Plausible scenarios China and Russia scenarios in 2040 Military elements of integrated deterrence Integrating economics into war plans Conclusion and recommendations Appendix 1. The so-called revolution in military affairs, 2000-2020 Appendix 2. Forecasting change in military technology, 2020-2040

Résumé ou extrait : Présentation de l'éditeur : "In recent years, the Pentagon has elevated its concerns about Russia and China as potential military threats to the United States and its allies. But what issues could provoke actual conflict between the United States and either country ? And how could such a conflict be contained before it took the world to the brink of thermonuclear catastrophe, as was feared during the cold war ? Defense expert Michael O'Hanlon wrestles with these questions in this insightful book, setting them within the broader context of hegemonic change and today's version of great-power competition. The book examines how a local crisis could escalate into a broader and much more dangerous threat to peace. What if, for example, Russia's "little green men" seized control of a community, like Narva or an even smaller town in Estonia, now a NATO ally ? Or, what if China seized one of the uninhabited Senkaku islands now claimed and administered by Japan, or imposed a partial blockade of Taiwan ? Such threats are not necessarily imminent, but they are far from inconceivable. Washington could be forced to choose, in these and similar cases, between risking major war to reverse

the aggression, and appeasing China or Russia in ways that could jeopardize the broader global order. O'Hanlon argues that the United States needs a better range of options for dealing with such risks to peace. He advocates "integrated deterrence," which combines military elements with economic warfare. The military components would feature strengthened forward defenses as well as, possibly, limited military options against Russian or Chinese assets in other theaters."

Sujet - Nom commun : Sécurité nationale -- États-Unis

Dissuasion (stratégie)

Agression (droit international)

Guerre -- Aspect économique

Grandes puissances -- 1990-2020

Géopolitique

Politique militaire -- États-Unis

Rôle stratégique -- Russie

Rôle stratégique -- Chine