

## **To do, to die, to reason why**

Type de contenu : Texte

Type de médiation : sans médiation

Type de support : Volume

Titre(s) : To do, to die, to reason why : individual ethics in war / Victor Tadros

A pour autre édition sur un support différent : To Do, To Die, To Reason Why Individual Ethics in War  
Victor Tadros 2019 Oxford Oxford University Press 978-0-19-186931-0

Auteur(s) : Tadros, Victor (19..-....)

Publication : Oxford New York (N.Y.) : Oxford University press, 2020

Description matérielle : 1 volume (X-339 pages) ; 24 cm

ISBN : 0-19-883154-4  
978-0-19-883154-9

EAN : 9780198831549 rel.

Classification décimale Dewey : 172.42

Note sur le titre et les responsabilités : Titre provenant des métadonnées fournies par l'éditeur

Note sur les bibliographies et les index : Bibliogr. p. [325]-333. Index

Note sur le contenu : Humanizing war The morality of harm : in and out of war Fighting for one's own  
Against following orders Personal and interpersonal sources of doing and allowing The significance of  
intentions Responsibility and liability Killing and aggregation Why it is wrong to kill non-responsible  
threats Causation and liability Sharing the costs of war Why law and morality should converge  
Accountability for wrongdoing in war

Résumé ou extrait : Présentation de l'éditeur : "To Do, To Die, To Reason Why offers a new account of  
the ethics of war and the legal regulation of war. It is especially concerned with the conduct of  
individuals, including whether they are required to follow orders to go to war, what moral constraints  
there are on killing in war, what makes people liable to be killed in war, and the extent to which the laws  
of war ought to reflect the morality of war. Victor Tadros defends a largely anti-authority view about the  
morality of war, and notable moral constraints on killing in war, such as the Doctrine of Doing and  
Allowing and a version of the Doctrine of Double Effect. However, he argues that a much wider range of  
people are liable to be harmed or killed in war than is normally thought to be the case, on grounds of both

causal involvement and fairness. And it argues that the laws of war should converge much more closely with the morality of war than is currently the case."

Sujet - Nom commun : Guerre -- Aspect moral

Soldats -- Déontologie

Objection de conscience

Guerre (droit international)

Guerre -- Philosophie

Guerre juste