

## **Limiting risk in war**

Type de contenu : Texte

Type de médiation : sans médiation

Type de support : Volume

Titre(s) : Limiting risk in war : airpower, asymmetrics, and a new strategic paradigm / Phillip S. Meilinger

Auteur(s) : Meilinger, Phillip S. (1948-....)

Publication : Annapolis (Md.) : Naval institute press

Date de copyright : C 2017

Description matérielle : 1 vol. (XX-277 pages) : ill. ; 24 cm

ISBN : 978-1-68247-250-7  
1-68247-250-7

EAN : 9781682472507 rel.

Classification décimale Dewey : 355.4

Note sur les bibliographies et les index : Bibliographie pages 249-265. Index

Note sur le contenu : Liddell Hart and limiting risk Winners: Britain, Wellington, the Arab Revolt, and Operation Torch Losers and a tie: the Sicilian Expedition, the Imjin War, Bonaparte, Gallipoli, and the Norwegian Campaign The rationale for a second front and indirectness Reasons for success or failure Limited wars with limited aims and means Descent into disaster An idea whose time has gone A new paradigm for success

Résumé ou extrait : Présentation de l'éditeur : "The United States has the most expensive and seemingly unstoppable military in the world. Yet, since World War II the nation's military success rate has been meager. The Korean War was a draw, while Vietnam, Mogadishu, Afghanistan, and Iraq were clear losses. Successes include Iraq in 1991, the Balkans (Croatia and Kosovo), Panama, the initial takedowns of Afghanistan in 2001 and Iraq in 2003, and Libya. What differentiates the failures from the successes ? Failures have been marked by the introduction of large numbers of conventional American ground troops, while successes have been characterized by the use of airpower, special operations forces, robust intelligence and sensor platforms, and the use of indigenous ground troops. Phillip S. Meilinger's new book advocates strategies that limit risks in war as well as achieve measurable goals. Instead of large numbers of conventional ground troops, the author argues in favor of a focus on asymmetric

capabilities--a combination of airpower, special operation forces, intelligence, and indigenous ground troops--to achieve the desired political outcomes."

Sujet - Nom commun : Guerre asymétrique -- Études de cas

Puissance aérienne -- États-Unis

Guerre asymétrique -- États-Unis

Gestion du risque -- États-Unis -- Études de cas

Politique militaire -- États-Unis -- Études de cas