

Ethics at war

Type de contenu : Texte

Type de médiation : sans médiation

Type de support : Volume

Titre(s) : Ethics at war : how should military personnel make ethical decisions ? / Deane-Peter Baker, Rufus Black, Roger Herbert,... [et al.]

Auteur(s) : Baker, Deane-Peter (1972-....)

Autre(s) auteur(s) : Black, Rufus
Herbert, Roger (19..-....)
King, Iain (1971-....)

Publication : London New York (N.Y.) : Routledge, 2024

Description matérielle : 1 vol. (XVI-158 p.) ; 24 cm

Collection : War, conflict and ethics

ISBN : 978-1-03-232121-9
1-03-232121-0
978-1-03-232120-2
1-03-232120-2

EAN : 9781032321202 br.

Appartient à la collection : War, conflict and ethics (Print) 2639-6688

Autre variante du titre : [How should military personnel make ethical decisions ?.]

Classification décimale Dewey : 172.42

Note sur la responsabilité : Autre contributeur : Iain King (coauteur)

Note sur les bibliographies et les index : Bibliogr. en fin de chapitres. Notes bibliogr. Index

Note sur le contenu : Theory The ethical triangulation model / Dean-Peter Baker The moral deliberation roadmap : the US Naval Academy's moral reasoning framework / Roger Herbert The quasi-utilitarian approach to decision-making in war / Iain King A natural law basis for military ethics / Rufus Black Analysis and critique Baker response / Dean-Peter Baker Herbert response / Roger Herbert King response

/ Iain King Black response / Rufus Black A concluding reflection on military ethical decision-making / David Whetham

Résumé ou extrait : "This book debates competing approaches to ethical decision-making for members of the armed forces of liberal-democratic states. In this volume, four prominent thinkers propose and debate competing approaches to ethical decision-making for military personnel. Deane-Peter Baker presents and expounds the 'Ethical Triangulation' model, an ethical decision-making method he has employed through much of his career as an applied military ethicist. Rufus Black advocates for a natural law-based approach, one which has heavily influenced the framework formally adopted by the Australian Defence Force. Roger Herbert outlines the 'Moral Deliberation Roadmap', the moral reasoning framework recently adopted by the US Naval Academy. Iain King then sets out a model of quasi-utilitarian decision-making developed in several post-conflict settings and refined at the UK's Royal College of Defence Studies. After the opening chapters in which each author outlines their favoured decision-making approach, the four contributors then evaluate each other's proposals, often critically. Philosopher David Whetham offers some concluding thoughts in which he summarises areas of agreement between the authors, identifies key areas of difference, and suggests directions for future research. This book will be of great interest to students of military ethics, the ethics of war, moral philosophy, and International Relations, as well as military professionals." (p. de garde)

Sujet - Nom commun : Éthique militaire -- Occident

Commandement des troupes

Prise de décision -- Aspect moral

Guerre -- Prise de décision

Guerre -- Aspect moral