

## **What is at stake in building "non-western" international relations theory ?**

Type de contenu : Texte

Type de médiation : sans médiation

Type de support : Volume

Titre(s) : What is at stake in building "non-western" international relations theory ? / Yong-Soo Eun

Auteur(s) : Eun, Yong-Soo

Publication : London New York (N.Y.) : Routledge, 2018

Description matérielle : 1 vol. (X-112 pages) ; 23 cm

Collection : IR theory and practice in Asia 2  
Routledge focus

ISBN : 978-1-138-28254-4  
1-138-28254-5  
978-0-367-37564-5

EAN : 9781138282544  
9780367375645

Appartient à la collection : Routledge focus New York Routledge [20??]  
IR theory and practice in Asia (Print) 2641-7979 2

Classification décimale Dewey : 327.101

Note sur les bibliographies et les index : Bibliogr. p. [95]-109. Index

Résumé ou extrait : "International Relations (IR) as a discipline is often deemed to be 'too Western' centric. It has been argued that much of mainstream IR theory is 'simply an abstraction of Western history.' In this respect, many IR scholars have called for 'broadening' the theoretical horizon of IR while problematising the Western parochialism of the discipline, and it is increasingly acknowledged that IR needs to embrace a wider range of histories, experiences, and theoretical perspectives, particularly those outside of the West. However, despite such a meaningful debate over broadening the theoretical and practical horizons of IR, several critical questions remain unclear and under-explored. For example, does IR need to embrace pluralism ? If so, how much ? To what extent, and in what sense, is IR parochial ? Should IR promote dialogue across theoretical and spatial divides? If so, how ? [The author] addresses these questions. He undertakes a literature review and an empirical analysis of the extent to which the field has actually become diverse and pluralistic. This investigation considers diversity beyond the current

limited focus on the geographical origins of theory. [The author] also draws attention to the mechanisms and processes of knowledge production and transmission in IR. More importantly, he addresses what is probably the most acute issue associated with the 'non-Western' IR theory-building enterprise; namely, fragmentation and dialogue. In conclusion [the author] notes that the role of unsettling the present hierarchical structure of the discipline falls to reflexive individual agents. He argues that in order for their agential power to be more fully harnessed in the opening up of IR, critical 'self'-reflection and 'collective' empathy and collaboration among marginalised scholars are all essential."-- (Editeur)

Sujet - Nom commun : Relations internationales -- Philosophie  
Orient et Occident