

Peacebuilding in 3D

Type de contenu : Texte

Type de médiation : sans médiation

Titre(s) : Peacebuilding in 3D : EU and US approaches / Eva Gross

Auteur(s) : Gross, Eva (19..-....)

Autre(s) auteur(s) : Institut d'études de sécurité Paris

Editeur, producteur : Paris : EU Institute for security studies, 2013, cop. 2013

Description matérielle : 1 vol. (55 p.) : ill., tabl. ; 24 cm

Collection : Chaillot papers no 130

Appartient à la collection : Chaillot papers (Print) 1017-7566 no 130

Autre variante du titre : [European Union and United States approaches.]

Classification décimale Dewey : 327.172

Note(s) : "Décembre 2013"

Note sur la description bibliographique : Consultable à l'adresse

Note sur le contenu : Introduction Peacebuilding in 3D : an emerging consensus The EU : consolidating capacities The US : a "smart power" approach EU-US trajectories : increasing cooperation

Résumé ou extrait : Giving peace a chance has always been a difficult challenge. Making peace, preserving peace -- but now, especially, building peace -- represents one of the most important and demanding objectives of any foreign policy aimed at bringing about a safer world. Today, an increasingly complex global security environment requires a flexible and multifaceted approach to address the symptoms as well as the causes of conflict. Peacebuilding is a broad but useful concept that captures the variety but also the spectrum of measures available to international actors in pursuit of sustainable peace. Engagement in various post-conflict settings over the past decade has led individual countries and organisations to work on enhancing the coherence and effectiveness of their respective instruments. This has involved efforts at improving coordination of capabilities as well as building up civilian tools and capacities so as to strengthen diplomacy and development alongside defence. Depending on the setting, such an alignment of the so-called "3Ds" -- defense, diplomacy, and development -- has been alternatively referred to as a "comprehensive" or "whole-of-government" approach, and its operationalisation has been conditioned by existing organisational structures, available resources, and

strategic cultures. This paper concerns itself with the "comprehensiveness" of peacebuilding and, within that, its civilian dimension. It represents an exercise in mapping and comparing developments across the Atlantic regarding the combination of policy instruments for peacebuilding, and especially the development and association of civilian ones to the more "traditional" tools of power, starting with the military ones. Both Brussels and Washington have made efforts at implementing a comprehensive (in the case of the EU) and whole-of-government (in the case of the US) approach to better align their respective instruments. (source : introduction, p. 7)

Sujet - Nom commun : Maintien de la paix -- Pays de l'Union européenne
Maintien de la paix -- États-Unis